1	
2	(
3]
4	í
5]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22]
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

The Court, having considered the Joint Motion filed by the parties seeking an Order amending this Court's Order dated March 29, 2010, Granting In Part and Denying In Part Defendant's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings (Docket 19), and certifying the Order for interlocutory appeal, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) and Rule 5(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The joint motion is GRANTED. The Court finds that its Order (Docket 19) involves controlling questions of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and that an immediate appeal from the Order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation.
- 2. The Order (Docket 19) is hereby amended to certify the following questions for appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b): "Do each of the voice mail messages as alleged in the complaint in this action constitute a 'communication' within the meaning of section 1692a(2) of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et. seq., (the "FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.;" and, "Do the voice mail messages as alleged in the complaint violate section 1692e(11) and/or section 1692d(6) of the FDCPA?"

2 DATED: July 26, 2010

JOHN A. HOUSTON United States District Judge